

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	<u>CV 15-1979 RSWL (Kkx)</u>	Date	<u>December 22, 2015</u>
Title	<u>Samuel Love v. SB Hospitality Palm Springs LLC et al</u>		

Present: The Honorable RONALD S.W. LEW, Senior, U.S. District Court Judge

<u>Joseph Remigio</u>	<u>Not Present</u>	<u>n/a</u>
<u>Deputy Clerk</u>	<u>Court Reporter / Recorder</u>	<u>Tape No.</u>

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants:

<u>Not Present</u>	<u>Not Present</u>
--------------------	--------------------

Proceedings: **IN CHAMBERS - ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS CASE
SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION**

It is the responsibility of plaintiff to respond promptly to all Orders and to prosecute the action diligently, including filing proofs of service and stipulations extending time to respond. If necessary, plaintiff(s) must also pursue Rule 55 remedies promptly upon default of any defendant. All stipulations affecting the progress of the case must be approved by the Court, Local Rule 7-1.

The file in this case lacks the papers that would show it is being timely prosecuted, as reflected below. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, hereby orders plaintiff (s) to show cause in writing no later than January 11, 2016, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

As an alternative to a written response by plaintiff(s), the Court will accept one of the following, if it is filed on or before the above date, as evidence that the matter is being prosecuted diligently.

- **PLAINTIFF'S FILING OF A MOTION FOR ENTRY OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT.**

No oral argument of this matter will be heard unless ordered by the Court. The Order will stand submitted upon the filing of a response or motion on or before the date upon which a response by plaintiff(s) is due. Failure to timely respond to this order may result in sanctions and/or the dismissal of this action.

: 00

Initials of Preparer JRE